Topic:

Some people are of the opinion that prisons are basically universities of crime, fostering the kind of behavior they aim to eradicate, and are, therefore, not effective. Others feel that prison is not hard enough. Discuss the arguments on both sides of the issues. What is your own opinion on the matter?

The use of prisons as a means to combat crime has always been as a matter of discussion and is becoming <u>a</u> controversial <u>issue</u>. It is true that jails play a vital role in decelerating the crime rates as opposed to providing developmental programs. This essay will discuss both sides of this issue.

Some people believe that prisons are basically breeding grounds for criminals. They believe that prisons' atmosphere can affect prisoners and convert them to professional criminals. For instance, people who enter prison at an early age for minor crime come out with the skills to commit more serious ones. They are prone to develop an anti-social attitude toward society. In from their point of view, using wrongdoers for community services is much more effective and beneficial.

On the flip side, others are of the opinion that prisons are not harsh enough. They argue that the small fines for some types of crime do not act as much of a deterrent. It is undeniable that captivity in harsh conditions helps to reduce crimes within society. In addition, capital punishment develops fear inside culprits' mind. Therefore, they realize their fault and stay away from further commission of crime.

In conclusion, jails are one of the most important parts of the-community which is-are dealing strongly with criminal activities despite having its-their own drawbacks. In my estimation, the government cannot prevent crime solely, nor can individuals undertake such a formidable task. By and large, provision of public assistance and enforcing balanced law can decrease the crime rate. As the saying goes "Prevention is better than cure!"